

## Prüfer Substitution (cont<sup>d</sup>)

**Theorem.** Let  $p(x) > 0$  and  $p \in \mathcal{C}^1[a, b]$ ,  $q \in \mathcal{C}[a, b]$ . Then the only solution of

$$-\frac{d}{dx} \left( p(x) \frac{dy}{dx} \right) + q(x)y = 0 \quad (1)$$

which has infinitely many zeros on  $[a, b]$  is the trivial solution

[i.e., any non-trivial solution can admit only finite # of zeros]

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\varphi$  solves (1) and  $\varphi$  has infinitely many number of zeros  $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$  on  $[a, b]$ .

By Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem,  $\exists$  a convergent subsequence  $(\alpha_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$  of  $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that  $\alpha_{n_k} \rightarrow c \in [a, b]$ .

$$\varphi(c) = \varphi \left( \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n_k} \right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\alpha_{n_k}) = 0 \quad (\text{By cont. of } \varphi)$$

$$\varphi'(c) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(\alpha_{n_k}) - \varphi(c)}{\alpha_{n_k} - c} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{0}{\alpha_{n_k} - c} = 0$$

Since  $\varphi(c) = 0 = \varphi'(c)$ , the unique solution is  $\varphi \equiv 0$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{C}^1[a, b]$  and  $0 < \frac{p_2(x)}{2} \leq \frac{p_1(x)}{1}$ ;  $q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{C}[a, b]$  and  $\frac{q_2(x)}{2} \leq \frac{q_1(x)}{1}$  on  $[a, b]$ . Let  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  be nontrivial solutions of

$$-\frac{d}{dx} \left( p_1 \frac{dy}{dx} \right) + q_1 y = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$-\frac{d}{dx} \left( p_2 \frac{dy}{dx} \right) + q_2 y = 0.$$

If  $\theta_2(a) \geq \theta_1(a)$ , then  $\theta_2(x) \geq \theta_1(x)$ .

**Proof.** Prüfer equations are

$$\frac{d\theta_1}{dx} = \frac{1}{p_1} \cos^2 \theta_1 - q_1 \sin^2 \theta_1 = F_1(x, \theta_1(x))$$

$$\frac{d\theta_2}{dx} = \frac{1}{p_2} \cos^2 \theta_2 - q_2 \sin^2 \theta_2 = F_2(x, \theta_2(x))$$

Given that  $p_1 \geq p_2 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{p_1} \leq \frac{1}{p_2}$

Given that  $p_1 \geq p_2 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{p_1} \leq \frac{1}{p_2}$   
 $q_1 \geq q_2 \Rightarrow -q_1 \leq -q_2$   
 $\Rightarrow F_1(\eta, \theta(\eta)) \leq F_2(\eta, \theta(\eta))$

$$\frac{d}{dn} (\theta_2 - \theta_1) = F_2(\eta, \theta_2(\eta)) - F_1(\eta, \theta_1(\eta))$$

$$\geq F_1(\eta, \theta_2(\eta)) - F_1(\eta, \theta_1(\eta))$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dn} (\theta_1 - \theta_2) \leq F_1(\eta, \theta_1(\eta)) - F_1(\eta, \theta_2(\eta))$$

$$\leq K_1 |\theta_1(\eta) - \theta_2(\eta)| \quad (K_1 - \text{Lip Constant of } F_1)$$

If possible, assume that  $\theta_1 > \theta_2$ ;  $\xi = \theta_1 - \theta_2 > 0$ .

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d\xi}{dn} \leq K_1 \xi \quad \Rightarrow \xi(\eta) \leq \xi(a) e^{K_1(\eta-a)}$$

$$= (\theta_1(a) - \theta_2(a)) e^{K_1(\eta-a)}$$

$$\leq 0 \quad (\because \theta_1(a) \leq \theta_2(a))$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta_1(\eta) \leq \theta_2(\eta)$$

The contradiction implies  $\theta_1(\eta) \leq \theta_2(\eta)$ .

**Corollary.** If  $q_2(\eta) < q_1(\eta) \quad \forall \eta \in (a, b]$ , then  $\theta_2(\eta) > \theta_1(\eta)$  on  $(a, b]$ .

**Proof.** If possible, assume that for some  $c > a$ , it holds

$$\theta_2(\eta) = \theta_1(\eta) \quad \forall \eta \in [a, c].$$

The Prüfer equations become

$$0 = \frac{d}{dn} (\theta_1 - \theta_2) = \cos^2 \theta \left( \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} \right) + \sin^2 \theta (q_2 - q_1)$$

$$\text{on } (a, c). \quad \leq 0 \quad < 0$$

Since  $(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}) \cos^2 \theta \leq 0$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta (q_2 - q_1) < 0$ , the terms should be individually zero

$$\Rightarrow \cos^2 \theta \left( \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} \right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sin^2 \theta (q_1 - q_2) = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \sin \theta = 0, \quad p_1 = p_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta = 0 \pmod{\pi}, \quad p_1 = p_2$$

then Prüfer equations becomes,

$$0 = \frac{1}{p_1}, \quad 0 = \frac{1}{p_2} \quad \text{on } (a, c]$$

which is not possible.

This shows that there does not exist any  $c > a$  such that

$$\theta_1(x) = \theta_2(x) \quad \text{on } (a, c].$$

Choose  $c = a + \frac{1}{n}$ . Then  $\exists x_n$  such that  $\theta_2(x_n) > \theta_1(x_n)$ .

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow a$ .

Also note that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1(x) - \theta_2(x) &\leq (\theta_1(x_n) - \theta_2(x_n)) \exp(k(x - x_n)) \quad \forall x > x_n \\ \Rightarrow \theta_2(x) - \theta_1(x) &\geq \underbrace{(\theta_2(x_n) - \theta_1(x_n))}_{>0} \underbrace{\exp(k(x - x_n))}_{>0} \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta_2(x) > \theta_1(x) \quad \forall x \geq x_n$$

Since as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow a$ , it holds  $\theta_2(x) > \theta_1(x)$   
 $\forall x \in (a, b]$

**Theorem (Oscillation).** Let  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  be two non-trivial solutions of

$$L_1(y) = -\frac{d}{dx}(p_1 y') + q_1 y = 0$$

$$L_2(y) = -\frac{d}{dx}(p_2 y') + q_2 y = 0$$

with  $0 < p_2(x) \leq p_1(x)$  and  $q_2(x) \leq q_1(x) \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$ . Then

$\varphi_2$  has a zero between any pair of consecutive zeros of  $\varphi_1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x_1 < x_2$  be two consecutive zeros of  $\varphi_1$ .

$$\varphi_1(x_1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_1(x_2) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta_1(x_1) = k\pi \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_1(x_2) = (k+1)\pi \quad \text{for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$\varphi_2$  is undisturbed by a translation of  $\theta_2$  by  $2n\pi$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

If necessary, with a translation,

$$\theta_2(x_1) - \theta_1(x_1) < \pi$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta_2(x_1) < (k+1)\pi$$

From the prs. thm,  $\theta_2(x_2) \geq \theta_1(x_2) = (k+1)\pi$

$$\Rightarrow \theta_2(x_1) < (k+1)\pi$$

$$\theta_2(x_2) \geq (k+1)\pi$$

$\therefore \exists \lambda^* \in [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$  such that  $\theta_2(\lambda^*) = (k+1)\pi$ ,

$$\Rightarrow \phi_2(\lambda^*) = 0.$$

**Corollary:** If  $q_2 < q_1$ , then  $\lambda^* \in (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ .